Previous references.

190

(Sep. Rev.)

File No. 65 of 1900

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Part B. for September 1901.

SUBJECT.

Remission in favour of Thirumgada Gowny moodlier defaulting drug shop keeper of Kolar Town for 98–99.

Rem – dypo 2766 Ex 388

The Deputy Commissioner in Mysore.

No 5577/346 dt – 10/18 . 5 . 1901

Forwarded for sanction & transmission to the Accountant General a Remission Statement No 357/98 in favour of Thirumgada Gowny moodlier, defaulting drug shop keeper of Kolar Town for 1898-99

2. To – The Deputy Commissioner in Mysore

G. R. S. 58

No 10062/Ex 541 dt - 19 . 6 . 1901

Returned Calls for a more complete Report furnishing information on certain points.

3

3. From — The Dy Chemist in Mysore

BJND 2565 / C466.

No 168 / 40 dt. 13.9.1901

Submits the report called for above

4. To — The Dy Chemist in Mysore

No 3669 / C488 dt. 31.8.1901.

Requests submission of certain information which was omitted in the above (SC3)

5. From BJND / C498.

The Dy Chemist in Mysore

No 2067 / 88 dt. 9/14.9.1901.

Explains the reasons why a whole months' out-turn was recommended to be remitted.

6 To The Dy Chemist in Mysore
The Camphor Mysore Govt

No 5349-50 / C120 dt. 26.9.1901.

Sanctions the Remission recommended in SC1.

R.K. Iyer

Countersigned
Secy
26/9/14

Receipt for application for orders

Read

Letter no 5877/346 dated 10th May 1901 from the Excise Commr in Mysore, reporting that one Veerenpada-samy Moodr purchased the drug shop of the Kolar Town for 1898-99 for a monthly rental of Rs 35-14-8 and paid the whole for July & August 98 but fell into arrears from September to November 98 owing to the loss sustained by him under the revised ganja rules introduced from 1st September 1898, that the shop was consequently resold from 1st December 98; and that necessary adjustment of a portion of the arrear was made from the amount of deposit amount made by the 1st purchaser, leaving a balance of Rs 35-14-8

In what way did the new rules affect the Petitioner? Was his margin of profit more under these rules than under the new ones? Was the consumption affected to any, & what extent, by increased

Submitted to 2nd Councillor

4/6

Office Read 10.6.01

8/6/01

11/6

still unadjusted, and
recommending the remission
of this balance in favor of
the defaulting licensee,
who has been reported to have
really suffered loss to that
extent.

Also correspondence noting
with the Excise Commr's letter no 2067/88 dt 29.9.1907
on the same subject.

Order thereon :—

Under the circum-
stances recommended
by the Excise Commr, Govt
are pleased to sanction
the remission of Rs 35-14-0
in favor of the defaulting
licensee, Iruvengadasamy Moodr,
the defaulting licensee.

25/5/07

Secretary 4/6

25/5/07

To The Excise Commr
in mysore
" Comptroller, m. g.

retail
Is there any other
liquor vendor
else where here?
A more complete
report should
be called for
from the officer
and the informa-
tion required
in the Govt order
I understand that
the Vendor under
the old system
had agreed to the
abolition of
the new system
for the remaining
period of the
lease & then
not a fact?

11/6/07

11/6/07

Note.

Please see the 2nd Councillors queries dated 11th June 1901 on draft-proposals.

The Excise Commr in his letter under reference has replied all queries except the last one.

In the statement forwarded with his previous letter, the Excise Commr recommended that one months rental viz Rs 35-14-8 may be remitted. This amount he showed as the amount of loss sustained by the licensee including his establishment & other charges according to the present statement, the licensees average monthly income ~~was~~ Rs under the revised Ganja Rules, was Rs 11-4-11 instead of Rs 16-6-6 before the introduction of the revised rules, resulting in ~~this circumstance~~ a loss of

(Rs 16-6-6 minus 11-4) =

Rs 5-2-6 every month

for a period of 3 months.

~~The Inspector~~ The licensee is in default to the extent of one month's rental viz 35-14-0 which the Excise Commr recommends for remission in consideration of the licensee having suffered loss not calculated in the previous shale month.

Submitted
to ? Commissioner
27/8/01

27/8/01

Read
27 VIII 01

Sd/- as now recommended Rs 16-7-6 which is a reduction of a round Rs 6 on the amount recommended viz Rs 35-14-5

I have the honour to be Sir
Your most obedient servant
Sd/- illegible
Excise Commissioner

Sd/- illegible
Secretary to Government
27/8/01

Sd/- illegible
Secretary to Government
27/8/01

Sd/- illegible
Secretary to Government
27/8/01

may last question
have it followed that
the office claimed
not subject to the
Convertibleness
Act by reason of Rule 1
as to which the
Court was
such answer as
might be possible

A.
30/8/07

I believe the answer to
the last query should be in
the affirmative in shroff
Dist - but whether all
Storekeepers or only a few
agreed, I do not remember
exactly. The office should verify
& answer thereto. [illegible]
30/8

Y.A.
30/8

Submitted

Please see para 3 marked of
the Excise Commrs letter Sl no 3
on file of 2 of 97-98 & also para 5
of Govt proc Sl no 29 from which
it will be seen that the Bombay

Contractors agreed to the enhanced rates and the Excise Commr. was asked to take a fresh bond from the contractors accordingly. no information is available as to whether the retail vendors agreed to the changes introduced. It was originally arranged to introduce the revised rules from the beginning of the Fiscal year but as there was some delay in receiving a reply from the Residency, the date was altered to 1st of September 1908. The correspondence referred to by the Secretary is not in this office. A draft is also submitted for approval asking the Excise Commr. to answer the query in question.

Visited to visit HLL 30/8/11

(Office note Continued)

The Excise Commr was asked in this Office No 3667 & 3x88 of 31st August 1901 to furnish certain additional information about the case as per orders of the 2nd Councillor dated 30th August 1901 (vide supra) & in reply ~~the~~ he reports that

(1) only the ~~vendors~~ shops of such of the vendors under the old system, as complained of the change, were resold.

(2) that the individual in question was the only one whose shop was so ~~so~~ resold in the Kolar district

(3) that the loss of Rs 25-7-6 sustained by the shop keeper was the gross amount irrespective of the incidental charges which he had to undergo in vending & therefore the whole months rental

Vide in Vague

was recommended for
remission and

(4) that there is no likelihood
of any remission of the
kind being recommended

Submitted, to Secy
HK 26/9/01

KC
18/9/01 The last question in
the P.D. is apparently answered by the second part. But that may be ambiguous.
I think the remission may be sanctioned.
Submitted to 2nd Comm
[illegible]
24/9/1901

Read
21.9.01 There is no use pursuing
this matter any further
I may sanction please
& the prisoner
be recommended - C
23/9/01

Issue
[illegible]
23/9

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 65 of 1900.

SERIAL No. 1

1901 7766.

Rn 388

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Govt
General Revenue Department
Secretariat

Dated 10th
Despatched 13th } May 1901
Received

General No. 5877
No. 346

Enclosure No. one

Forwards for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit, a remission statement for Rs 35-14-8 on behalf of Hirisavegadda Saini Moodeya the defaulting Arrackshop keeper of Kolar Town for the year 1898-99, for reasons reported by the Deputy Commissioner.

please [illegible]
R. Govt [illegible]
15th [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Excise Commissioner's Office

No 5877/346 Excl. Bangalore, Dated 10th May 1899

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue depart-
ments

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit a statement for remission of Rs 35-14-8, outstanding against Sirinivasadasaiah Moodaliar, drug shop keeper of the Kolar Taluk for the year 1898-99, I have the honor to state that the aforesaid individual purchased the shop for a monthly rental of Rs 35-14-8, and paid the kists for the months of

July

July and August 1898, but fell into arrears from September to November 1898, owing to the loss sustained by him under the revised Ganja rules introduced from 1st September 1898. The shop was therefore resold and given away to another man with effect from 1st December 1898.

2. The total shop rent that accumulated against the original purchaser during September to November 1898, is Rs 107-12-4, towards which Rs 71-13-4, being the security deposit equal to two months' rent, were credited, leaving a balance of Rs 35-14-8 against Sivanandasarmy Moodaliar. As the Deputy Commissioner has reported that the first purchaser

Ramchandra has really suffered a loss, I beg to recommend the remission of Rs 35-14-8 in his favour as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most obedient Servant

[signature]

for Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Statement showing the amount of ~~Expenditure~~ Remission recommended to be sanctioned in favour of Hiranyagadasamy Naidu Sala Duya Sep-Keeper of the Kolar Farm for the period (of 3 months i.e. from September to November 1878) during which the said Sep was employed by him under the revised Rules

1	2	3	4	5	6					7.		8		9		10		11			12.
Serial Number	Name of Taluk	Name of Village	Name & original Residence.	Description of Cargo	Weight on the ground Gold Coins of Rs 10 each Rs		Silver Coins of Rs 1 each Rs		Copper Coins Rs	Amount of Gold & Silver Coins Rs	a.	h.	Amount of Gold Coins of Rs 10 each Rs	a.	h.	Amount of Silver Coins of Rs 1 each Rs	a.	h.	Contingent charges for 3 months Rs	a.	h.	Total Cost recommended in the original Petition for the Cargo Carried by the Sep Rs	Cost of Cargo carried by him under the revised Rules Rs	a.	h.	Remarks.
1	Kolar	Kolar Farm	Hiranyagada - Telugu Brahmin	Gaeja	45	33	12																
				Majeum	8	32	5	1	2	107	12	3	12	15			4	2	10	35	14	8	
				Opium	29	20	55	15															
							94	12	2	107	12	3	12	15			4	2	10	35	14	8	

Dated 26th April 1879

Recommended for Sanction

Assistant Commissioner

Commissioner

Draft as per orders

SECTION S. A
FILE NO. 650 of 1900-01
SERIAL NO. 2

To

The Excise Commr.
in Mysore

No 10062
Ex. 511
Dated 19th June 1901

With reference to his letter no. 5877/346 dated 5th May 1901, forwarding for sanction a semi-official statement for Rs 36-14-0 on behalf of Sivavenkata Sastri the defaulting drug shop keeper of Kolar Town for 1898-99.

With reference to the above the Honorable Regd. that ~~a more complete report~~ the Excise Commr. will be so good as to forward a more complete report in the matter furnishing information on the following points:—

(1) In what way did the new rules operate

to

the prejudice of the lessee?

(2) Was not his margin of profit less under the old Rules than under the new ones?

(3) Was the consumption affected to any, and what, extent, by increased retail prices?

(4) How did similar retail vendors elsewhere fare?

(5) Did not the vendors under the old system agree to the application of the new system for the remaining period of their license.

"B. O."

17/6/01

For Secretary

KG
17/6/01

Exam
23 8 01

Ex Coll

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 650 of 1900-01

SERIAL No. 3

OFFICE OF THE ... OF MYSORE
1901 2575
23-8-01

From

The Executive Commissioner in Mysore.

To The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore & Coorg.

Dated 8th August 1901.
Despatched 17th August
Received

General No. 1168
No. 48

Enclosure No. 2 Statements

Furnishes further information in the case of remission recommended in favour of Tirumalaiah Sanay Mandalair, defaulting drug-store-keeper of the Kolar Town for 1898-99, and requests that the remission recommended for the ~~[illegible]~~ may be sanctioned

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

No. 1168/48 Exc 28 Statements

Bangalore dated 16th Sept 1948
17

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Finance and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 10082/511, dated 19th June 1901, requesting further information in the case of the petition recommended in favour of Tiruvenkata Sawmy Mudaliar, defaulting drug shop Keeper of the Kolar town for 1898-99, I have the honour to state that

that owing to the enhanced rate of retail price from Rs 2/12 – to Rs 5/4 per seer with effect from 8th September 1898 ganja consumption fell off, and consequently the above shop keepers sustained loss which the larger margin of profit viz Rs 0-12-0 per seer allowed under the revised rules, could not recoup as will be seen from the accompanying statement No. I showing ganja consumption under the old and new systems

Systems Defaulter Sub-
venkatasawmy Sastrin
held the Shop on the 2nd
end of November 1898. The
Consumption in this Shop
in the first two months
of the official year under
the old rules, was 62½ Seers
of 80 tolas which would
give him a profit of
Rs 32/13 - or Rs 16-6-6 per
mensem inclusive of all
charges The Consumption
from 1st September to 30th
November 1898 under the
revised Rules amounted
to

to 45 seers yielding the
licensee a profit only
Rs 33/12 or Rs 11/4 per annum
or Rs 5-2-6 less than under
the old Rules which is
due to the consumption
in the shop having fallen
from 2 to 1½ to 15 seers a month.
2. The enclosed statement
No II will show that the
retail vendors of ganja in
other places fared similarly
and the shops of those who
purchased them under
the old System, and who
were unwilling to retain
them under the new System
were

were put up to auction
on the recommendation
of the Deputy Commissioners
Concerned

3. In these circumstances
I beg to request that the
remission recommended
in favour of the defaulting
Stock keeper, may be
Sanctioned

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

[illegible]
For Excise Commissioner
[illegible]

Statement showing profit and loss [redacted] Farm under Kolar Shop Keeper, Kolar Town for 5 months ended 30th November 1898.

Locality of the Shop	Consumption of Ganja during												Remarks
	July 1898 Seers 80 Tolas	August 1898 Seers 80 Tolas	Total Seers	Average per month Seers	Profit per month under the old Rules at as.2/- per seer of 80 Tolas Rs. As. P.			Sept. Seers	Oct. Nov. Seers	Dec. Jan. Seers	Total Seers	Average per month Seers	Profit per month under the revised Rules at as.2/- per seer Rs. As. P.	
1	2	3	4	5	6			7	8	9	10	11	12	13.
Kolar Town	27	25½	52½	26¼	16	6	6	15	16½	13½	45	15	11 4 -	

Dated 1st August 1901.

[redacted] Kolar Gangam 4th Feb

In Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

CD

No II

Statement showing the profit and loss of Ganja shops in several places during 189

No.	District	Locality of Ganja Shop	Consumption of Ganja for 10 months ended 30th June. 1898		1899		Loss		Realization on consumption of Ganja in col. 3 at as per auction		Realization on consumption of Ganja in col. 4 at as per auction	
			3		4		5		6		7	
			Seers	T.	Seers	T.	Seers	T.	Rs	A. P.	Rs	A.
1	Bangalore	Kelamangala	60	-	40	40	19	40	37	8 -	30	6
2	Kolar	Kolar Town	226	40	142	40	84	-	141	5 -	106	14
		Chintamani	243	-	207	40	35	40	151	14 -	153	12
		Chickballapur	225		135	-	90	-	140	10 -	101	4
3	Tumkur	Gubbi	98	8	71	72	26	16	61	5 -	53	14
4	Hassan	Hassan	262	40	158	-	104	40	164	1 -	118	8
		Saklespur	240	-	167	40	58	40	131	4 -	113	4
		Belur	126	-	117	40	8	40	78	12 -	88	2
5	Kadur	Chickmagalur	359	44	190	60	168	66	224	11 6	143	4
		Kadur	109	40	96	-	13	40	68	7 -	72	-
		Tarikere	330	-	232	40	97	40	206	4 -	174	6
6	Shimoga	Shemoga	510	-	308	40	201	40	318	12 -	230	6
		Chennagiri	64	40	57	-	7	40	40	6 -	42	12
		Honnali	117	-	69	-	48	-	73	2 -	57	12
7	Chitaldrug	Chitaldrug.	174	-	110	40	63	40	108	12 -	82	14

Dated 1st August 1901.

& loss on consumption of ganja & charas
'7-98 as compared with 1896-97.

Profit			Loss			Remarks
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
8			9			10
			7	2	-	*Inspite of the comparative decrease
			34	7	-	in the consumption of drugs, there is a
*3	12	-				small profit under the new system
			39	6	-	owing to the large quantity
			7	6	3	sold and the large margin of
			45	9	-	profit allowed
			17	10	-	
*9	6	-				
			81	10	6	
*5	9	-				
			31	14	-	
			88	6	-	
*2	7	-				
			21	6	-	
			25	14	-	

for Excise Commissioner
Sd/ illegible

L.

SECTION S. R.
No. 65 of 1900
SPECIAL No. 4

The Excise Commr
in mysore

No 3669/488
Dated 31st August 1901

This letter no 1168/48
dated 10th August 1901
furnishing further infor-
mation in the case of re-
moscin recommended
in favour of Tiruvenkata
sami Moodr, defaulting
drug shop keeper of the Kolar
town for 1898-99,

With reference to the
above has the honr to state
that no information is
furnished ~~on the point stated~~
as to whether the vendors
under the old system agreed
to the application of the new
system for the remaining
period of their license and

to request that the same
may now be furnished along
with the additional information
on the following points

(1) If as reported
in the above, the loss sustained
by the vendor was only Rs 15-7-6,
why is a remission of a much
larger amount viz a whole
months rental (Rs 35-14-5)
recommended?

(2) Have the men named
in the statement no II, paid
off all the arrears due by
them, or is it intended to recommend
remissions
~~going to be recommended~~
in their cases also?

31/8/01

Secretary

31/8/01

18

Ex. 98

OFFICE OF THE
190 3615
17-9-01

SECTION S. A.

FILE No. 65 of 1900

SERIAL No. 5

FROM P. N. Ramanujam Esq. B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To B. V. Narayandasji Esq. M.A. M.L.
Secretary to Government
Fd. & Rev. Dept. &c.

Dated 9th September 1901.

Despatched 15 — do.

Received

General No. 2067

No. 88

Enclosure No.

In reply to Secretary's No. 3669/6×88, dated the 31st ultimo.

Explains the reasons for recommending a whole month's rent for remission in favor of Tirumenkatasami Moodaliar defaulting Drug shop-keeper of the Kolar town for the year 1898–99.

Exam
66 9 m

Recd 17/9

No. 2067/88

From

Excise Commissioner
Bangalore, 9th September
14

T. N. Punaiya Esqr. B. A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

A. V. Narjundaiya Esqr. M.A, M.L
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue departments

Sir

In reply to your docket No. 3669/Ex 88, dated the 31st ultimo calling for further information in the case of remission recommended in favor of Tirumakatasami Moodaliar, defaulting Drug shop Keeper of the Kolar town for 1898-99 I have the honor to state that only the shops of such of the vendors of drugs under the old system as complained of the charge were resold. In the Kolar district, the above individual was the only one whose shop was resold, the remaining vendors having agreed to the terms of the new system. The loss of Rs 16-7-6 in question is the gross amount of loss calculated to have been sustained by Tirumakata Moodaliar under the new system, irrespective of the incidental charges which he had to undergo in vending Therefore a whole month's rent has been recommended

3

3. Of the men named in Statement No II all but one have paid off their arrears and there is no likelihood of any remission being recommended in his behalf

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Venkatadasappa

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SECTION S.A.
FILE NO 65 of 1900-01
SERIAL NO 6

Read
Letter No 877-
346 of 18th May 1901 from
the Revenue Commissioner,
reporting that no offer
Khairunnisa Begum purchased
the Lease of Shop No 5 of the
Kolaktowa for 1898-99
for a monthly rental
of Rs 35-14-8 & paid
Rs 35 for July &
August 98 & will fall
into arrears from
September 98 onwards
98 owing to the loss
sustained by him
under the revised
Garage rules introduced
from 1st September &
that the Shop was
consequently resold
from 1st Dec 98, and
that necessary adjust-
ment of a portion
of the arrear was

was made from the
account of deposit
made by the first
prisoner, Reddie, of
£1 15s. 0d. on Nov. 14. 08
still outstanding, and
recommending that
the permission of the
Collector in favour
of the defaulting
licensee who had
been restored to have
really deferred does
to that extent.

This correspondence
ended with the same
Commissioners letter no
1067-08 of 9.9.07 on
the same subject

Stolen Notices and
Absconders.

5349-50. 25.
~~E.F.2.47~~ 26/9/1907.
Ex 120

Convictions recommended
by the Excise Commrs.
Court are cleared
for sanction the
remission

remission of Rs 35.14.8
in favor of Alicia Souka
da Sami Imudaliar,
the defaulting ci
licensee -

(Sgd) W. Forbes

To Mercantile Comm
Comptroller